

**From:** Joan Seeman [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]  
**Sent:** 9/18/2019 4:48:11 PM  
**To:** Chergo, Jennifer [Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov]  
**CC:**

## Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

**Subject:** Re: VB/I-70 Superfund Site Quarterly Public Meeting!

Jennifer,

Would you consider a public documented update from EPA instead of a private meeting?

Also, CDPHE reports in a correspondence from Jennifer Opila that you would prepare an updated "Citizen Involvement Plan" since the last one was dated 2016 and did not include any information re the 2017 CAG meetings etc) Is the CDPHE info correct?

(A **Community Involvement Plan (CIP)** is a site-specific strategy to enable meaningful **community involvement** throughout the Superfund cleanup process.)

<https://semspub.epa.gov/work/11/174739.pdf>

"The CIP is both a document and the culmination of a planning process.<sup>1</sup> As such, the CIP provides the backbone of the community involvement program and serves as a useful reference that the Site Team often turns to during the Superfund cleanup for advice on appropriate activities for community involvement. A well-written CIP will enable community members affected by a Superfund site to understand the ways in which they can participate in decision making throughout the cleanup process.

Required Activity

Yes. The National Contingency Plan (NCP) requires the lead agency to prepare a Community Involvement Plan (formerly called a Community Relations Plan) "based on community interviews and other relevant information, specifying the community relations activities that the lead agency expects to undertake during the remedial response." The NCP specifies that the CIP must be in place before remedial investigation field activities start, "to the extent practicable."

A required "Citizen Involvement Plan" would be an important EPA communication to share for the Vasquez Blvd Superfund site.

Thank you,  
Joan Seeman

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 18, 2019, at 10:17 AM, Chergo, Jennifer <[Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov](mailto:Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov)> wrote:

Hello Matthew. I don't believe we've met, but I assure you that we are not trying to suppress you. If you are interested in learning what the EPA is doing to protect human health and the environment, the beneficial environmental investigation and cleanup work we have been doing at the VB/I-70 Site, and/or the amount of outreach and community involvement we've conducted, please feel contact me anytime. I would be happy to talk with you about it.

Jennifer Chergo  
EPA Office of Communication and Public Involvement  
303-312-6601

---

**From:** Matthew Stenger Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 17, 2019 5:15 PM  
**To:** [denverbridget@gmail.com](mailto:denverbridget@gmail.com) <[denverbridget@gmail.com](mailto:denverbridget@gmail.com)>

## Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

**Subject:** Re: VB/I-70 Superfund Site Quarterly Public Meeting!

Thank you to Bridget, Chuck, and all those on the CAG group who are standing up to the EPA. I wish the EPA existed to protect the people and our environment, not to suppress us.

Matthew S. Stenger, CPA

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

Sent from my "smart" phone... pardon any typos

On Tue, Sep 17, 2019, 2:50 PM Bridget Walsh <[denverbridget@gmail.com](mailto:denverbridget@gmail.com)> wrote:

**Thank you Chuck.**

On Tue, Sep 17, 2019 at 2:45 PM Charles Norris **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** wrote:

On 9/17/2019 11:09 AM, Chergo, Jennifer wrote:

- > I can add to the agenda a five minute update from the CAG, though it
- > will work best on the agenda after the presentation and right before the
- > public input opportunity sections.

Jennifer,

While not a member of the CAG, I have been involved for quite a while and have attended almost all meetings over the last two year. I beg to differ with your perception as to what "will work best."

I just checked with the Focus Points Family Resource Center, and the meeting tonight was booked by the CAG and and is posted on their schedule today as a CAG meeting. Yes, the CAG has agreed to USEPA presenting its Quarterly Public Meeting at a CAG meeting, and agrees it is, thus, a "Joint" meeting. However, CAG picked the date, CAG reserved the space, and so the coin toss goes to CAG; EPA is the invited guest this evening at the CAG meeting for the purpose of its Public Meeting. (USEPA is always invited, of course, to each CAG meeting to discuss Site issues with its CAG, but currently doesn't feel the need to do so.)

Rightfully, tonight, CAG sets the meeting agenda just as EPA sets the agenda for its Pubic Meeting within the CAG meeting. If CAG perceives a need to begin with a few brief items of business, that should be its call and reflected in the CAG meeting agenda.

What "will work best" is that EPA be courteous to its host.

--

Chuck

Charles H. Norris

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

--

**Warmest regards,**

"Bridget" Eileen Walsh, Real Estate Broker

DenverWelcomeHome.com, LLC

www.DenverWelcomeHome.com

Bridget@DenverWelcomeHome.com

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

4909 East 23rd Avenue

Denver, Colorado 80207

CO Real Estate Lic: #EI100029927

CA Real Estate Lic: #00951411

NMLS: 282950